

Fig. 4. Projection $x O z$. Les atomes d'azote sont représentés par des cercles pointillés, les atomes d'oxygène par des cercles hachurés.

Comme cela a déjà été observé (Riche, 1974; Falkenberg \& Carlström, 1971) le noyau indolique est légèrement plié le long de la liaison $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(9)$. Le benzène et le pyrrole sont plans et les normales à ces deux plans font entre elles un angle de $1,8^{\circ}$. Le cycle à sept chaînons $C$ est dans une conformation demichaise. Le cycle $E$ adopte la conformation demi-chaise idéale du cyclohexène (Bucourt \& Hainaut, 1965) alors que le cycle $D$ adopte une conformation sofa. Le système des doubles liaisons conjuguees $14-15$ et 1920 , n'est plan qu'en première approximation, l'angle entre les deux normales aux plans moyens des doubles liaisons 14-15 (plan $D$, Tableau 5) et 20-19 (plan $E$, Tableau 5) étant de $8,5^{\circ}$. Par ailleurs, les quatre atomes $\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(7)$ et $\mathrm{C}(6)$ ne sont pas coplanaires.

Le groupement méthoxycarbonyl est pratiquement plan, le méthyle $C(25)$ étant à $0,06 \AA$ du plan moyen des quatre autres atomes. Notons que l'atome d'oxygène $O(24)$ éclipse parfaitement l'atome $C(21)$ langle de torsion $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{O}(24)=1^{\circ}$. Cette conformation, a priori peu favorable, est probablement stabilisée par le système de liaisons hydrogène. Dans le
cristal, en effet, les molécules forment des dimères autour d'un axe binaire (Fig. 4). Deux molécules sont liées entre elles par deux liaisons hydrogene du type $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(24)$ dont les caractéristiques géométriques sont: $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2,90, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=0,89, \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}=2,06$ $\AA$ et $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=158^{\circ}$.

Les auteurs remercient MM H.-P. Husson et P. Potier pour leur intérêt constant au cours de ce travail.
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of 9-thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione has been determined from X-ray and neutron diffraction measurements. The crystals are orthorhombic, with $a=10.731$ (4), $b=6.806(1), c=$


0567-7408/79/030669-07\$0 1.00
10.771 (2) $\AA, Z=4$, space group Pbcn. The molecule has $C_{2}$ symmetry in the crystal. Full-matrix leastsquares refinement converged at $R=0.053$ for 556 X ray and $R=0.093$ for 455 neutron reflections. The molecule has a twin-chair conformation with the rings appreciably distorted from ideal cyclohexane geometry,
© 1979 International Union of Crystallography
both by skewing and by direct outward displacement of the $C(3)$ and $C(7)$ methylene groups; the transannular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ separation at these methylene groups is 2.07 (2) $\AA$. Molecular-mechanics calculations are reported for this and other bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes.


(II)

Derivatives of bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane can exist as twinchair (I) or boat-chair (II) conformers. A hypothetical twin-chair conformer constructed from ideal cyclohexane rings with tetrahedral valency angles would have a prohibitively short transannular separation of $0.75 \AA$ between H atoms on the 3 and 7 methylene groups; the alternative boat-chair conformer, on the other hand, avoids the strain of the $3 \cdots 7$ interaction but has the unfavourable torsional and steric features associated with the boat conformation of cyclohexane. Various X-ray studies have established that molecules lacking endo substituents at position 3 or 7 generally adopt a modified twin-chair conformation in which the rings are appreciably flattened so as to increase the transannular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ separation (Brown, Eglinton, Martin, Parker \& Sim, 1964; Dobler \& Dunitz, 1964; Brown, Martin \& Sim, 1965; Webb \& Becker, 1967; Smith, 1972; Brauer \& Krüger, 1973) and equilibration measurements indicate that this twin-chair conformation is more stable than the boat-chair conformation by ca $13 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (Appleton, Egan, Evans, Graham \& Dixon, 1968; Marvell \& Knutson, 1970). Other X-ray studies have confirmed that molecuies with bulky endo substituents at positions 3 or 7 prefer the boat-chair conformation (Tamura \& Sim, 1968; Cradwick \& Sim, 1971). 1-Methyl-7-exo-tert-butylbicyclo [3.3.1]nonane-2,9-dione adopts the boat-chair conformation though it has an exo rather than an endo substituent at position 7 and it has been suggested that this result can be attributed to the buttressing effect of the bulky tert-butyl group and to the relatively small enthalpy difference between chair and boat conformations of 1,3 -cyclohexanedione (Hickmott, Cox \& Sim, 1974). 9-Oxa-3,7dithiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane has also been found to adopt the boat-chair conformation, though it lacks an endo substituent, and this has been ascribed to lone-pair repulsions between the S atoms (Zefirov, Rogozina, Kurkutova, Goncharov \& Belov, 1974).

Investigations of bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane by electron diffraction (Osina, Mastryukov, Vilkov \& Belikova,
1976) and bicyclo[3.3.1]nonan- 9 -one by microwave spectroscopy (Laird \& Tyler, 1977) have confirmed that these molecules adopt flattened twin-chair conformations in the gas phase.

There has been considerable discussion of the most appropriate choice of $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ potential-energy function for molecular-mechanics calculations of conformations and steric energies (Hendrickson, 1967; Allinger \& Sprague, 1972; Engler, Andose \& Schleyer, 1973; Ermer \& Lifson, 1973; Fitzwater \& Bartell, 1976; Allinger, 1976; White \& Bovill, 1976, 1977). Intramolecular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ repulsive interactions are important features of various organic compounds, e.g. cyclodecane (Dunitz, 1968), and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distances in strained molecules can serve to calibrate the force field employed in these conformational calculations. X-ray structure determinations have yielded accurate values for the $C(3) \cdots C(7)$ separations in twin-chair bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes but have inevitably given rather imprecise estimates of the critical $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distances. To define these adequately it is necessary to resort to neutron diffraction.

Among various bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivatives available to us, 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione could be obtained in the form of reasonably large single crystals and we have subjected this compound to both X-ray and neutron diffraction investigations.

## Crystal data

9-Thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}$ $=170 \cdot 2$. Orthorhombic, $a=10.731$ (4), $b=6.806$ (1), $c=10.771$ (2) $\AA, U=786.7 \AA^{3}, D_{c}=1.44, Z=4$, space group Pbcn, molecular symmetry in crystal $C_{2}$. The cell dimensions were derived from X-ray measurements on a four-circle diffractometer with Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$. For the neutron analysis, a crystal of volume $21.0 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was obtained from chloroform/petrol solution.

## $\mathbf{X}$-ray measurements

The intensities of 556 independent reflections with $I>$ $3 \sigma(I)$ were measured on a Hilger \& Watts computercontrolled four-circle diffractometer with Zr -filtered Mo $K_{a}$ radiation. The $2 \theta-\omega$ step-scan procedure was employed and the $\theta$ limit was $28^{\circ}$. Absorption was neglected ( $\mu=0.348 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ ).

## Neutron measurements

For the neutron intensity measurements we used a Grubb-Parsons four-circle diffractometer at AERE, Harwell. The neutron wavelength was $1.180 \AA$. Reflections were surveyed in the range $\theta \leq 55^{\circ}$ by the
$2 \theta-\omega$ step-scan procedure and 455 independent intensity measurements with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ obtained. The intensities were corrected for absorption (Gaussian numerical integration, $\mu=0.179 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ ) by the program ABSORB in the XRAY 72 system (Stewart, Kruger, Ammon, Dickinson \& Hall, 1972).

## Structure analysis

The crystal structure was elucidated from the X-ray intensities by direct phase determination. After preliminary least-squares adjustment of the parameters of the $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}$ and S atoms, the H atoms were located from a difference map. Subsequent full-matrix least-squares

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

The neutron results are given first and are followed by the X-ray results.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $x$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.5575(4)$ | $0.8108(6)$ | $0.3614(4)$ |
|  | $0.5564(4)$ | $0.8099(6)$ | $0.3614(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.4427(4)$ | $0.7056(6)$ | $0.412(4)$ |
|  | $0.4427(4)$ | $0.7054(6)$ | $0.4104(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.3692(4)$ | $0.5814(7)$ | $0.3196(5)$ |
|  | $0.3702(5)$ | $0.5814(7)$ | $0.3199(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.3413(4)$ | $0.6797(7)$ | $0.1948(4)$ |
|  | $0.3420(5)$ | $0.6790(7)$ | $0.1940(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.4123(7)$ | $0.7209(10)$ | $0.5172(6)$ |
|  | $0.4120(3)$ | $0.7197(5)$ | $0.5172(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 0.5000 | $0.9937(2)$ | 0.2500 |
|  | 0.5000 | $0.9917(2)$ | 0.2500 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0.5960(11)$ | $0.8963(18)$ | $0.4393(10)$ |
|  | $0.5900(43)$ | $0.8822(59)$ | $0.4318(41)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(3_{1}\right)$ | $0.4217(11)$ | $0.4469(15)$ | $0.3062(13)$ |
|  | $0.4237(48)$ | $0.4589(74)$ | $0.3217(43)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(3_{2}\right)$ | $0.2816(11)$ | $0.5417(18)$ | $0.3635(12)$ |
|  | $0.2805(57)$ | $0.5440(89)$ | $0.3660(62)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(4_{1}\right)$ | $0.2585(8)$ | $0.7680(19)$ | $0.2039(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(4_{2}\right)$ | $0.2786(41)$ | $0.7625(68)$ | $0.2004(35)$ |
|  | $0.3162(11)$ | $0.5647(15)$ | $0.1248(12)$ |
|  | $0.3280(39)$ | $0.5821(57)$ | $0.1340(38)$ |

Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses
The neutron results are given first and are followed by the X-ray results.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.522(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $1.102(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1.507(6)$ |  | $0.97(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | $1.835(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}\left(3_{1}\right)$ | $1.085(11)$ |
|  | $1.827(4)$ |  | $1.01(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.521(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}\left(3_{2}\right)$ | $1.086(12)$ |
|  | $1.506(6)$ |  | $1.11(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.191(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}\left(4_{1}\right)$ | $1.077(11)$ |
|  | $1.200(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}\left(4_{2}\right)$ | $1.120(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.530(6)$ |  | $0.94(4)$ |
|  | $1.540(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.531(6)$ |  |  |
|  | $1.529(6)$ |  |  |


| $C(8)-C(1)-C(2) \cdot C(3)$ | -59.1 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | - 103.7 (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 121.1(5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3,2$ | 11.2 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \cdot \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $66 \cdot 1$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4$, | --84.6 (7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9) \quad \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -113.7 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}\left(4,{ }_{2}\right.$ | 161.5 (7) |
| C(2) $\cdots$ C(1) S(9) C(5) | . 66.5 (3) | $\mathrm{H}(3)-,\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | - 83.5 (8) |
| C(8) C(1) $\cdot \mathrm{S}(9) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 61.2 (3) | $\mathrm{H}(3)-,\mathrm{C}(3) \cdot \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4$, | 154.2 (9) |
| C(1) $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -46.9 (5) | $\mathrm{H}(3) \quad ,\mathrm{C}(3) \cdot \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)^{\prime}$ | 40.3 (10) |
| $O(2) \cdot C(2) \quad C(3)-C(4)$ | 132.9 (5) | $\mathrm{H}(32) \quad \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 158.8 (7) |
| $C(2)-C(3)-C(4) \quad C(5)$ | 37.6 (5) | $\mathrm{H}(32)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(4_{1}\right)$ | 36.5 (10) |
| C(3) C(4)-C(5) C(6) | 71.1 (5) | $\mathrm{H}(32)-\mathrm{C}(3) \cdot \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}\left(4_{2}\right)$ | -77.3(10) |
| $C(3) \quad C(4) \quad C(5)-S(9)$ | 51.0(4) | C(3). $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}(5)$ | 168.0 (7) |
| $S(9) \quad C(5)-C(6) O(6)$ | $-113.7(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(4)-,\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-165.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{S}(9) \cdot \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 61.2 (3) | $\mathrm{H}(4) \quad ,\mathrm{C}(4) \quad \mathrm{C}(5) \quad \mathrm{S}(9)$ | 72.2 (7) |
| $C(6) \cdot C(5) \quad S(9) \quad C(1)$ | 66.5 (3) | $\mathrm{H}(4,1) \cdot \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}(5)$ | -.44.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdot \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$ | 178.0 (7) | $\mathrm{H}\left(4,{ }_{2}\right)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6)$ | --53.4 (7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \cdot \mathrm{O}(2)$ | -1.8(9) | $\mathrm{H}(4,2) \cdot \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{S}(9)$ | 175.5 (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{S}(9) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 179.1(7) | $\mathrm{H}(4,2)-\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}(5)$ | 67.5 (10) |
| C(1) $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \cdot \mathrm{H}(3)$ | 76.5 (8) | $\mathrm{H}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 178.0 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}\left(3{ }_{2}\right.$ | -168.6 (7) | $\mathrm{H}(5) \mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{S}(9) \mathrm{C}(1)$ | -179.1(7) |

torsion angles and intermolecular separations are given in Tables 2-5. The agreement between the structural results of the two analyses is excellent and, accordingly, in Table 2 both sets of interatomic distances are provided for comparison but in the other tables only the neutron results are given. Fig. 1 shows a general view of the molecule, while Fig. 2 illustrates the packing. The molecule has crystallographic $C_{2}$ symmetry and the coordinates of C(5), C(6), etc. are derived from those of $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$, etc. by the transformation $1-x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z$.

The $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$ lengths, mean $1.533 \AA$ (averaged over neutron and X-ray results) are, as expected, slightly longer than the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$ values, mean $1.514 \AA$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances are $1.077-1.120$, mean $1.094 \AA$ (neutron), and $0.89-1 \cdot 11$, mean $0.98 \AA$ (Xray); here the neutron analysis gives the expected improvement in accuracy. For comparison, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances obtained in a neutron diffraction study of 1,6-cyclodecanediol are 1.053-1.120, mean 1.092 $\AA$ (Ermer, Dunitz \& Bernal, 1973).

Table 5. Intermolecular separations $<3 \AA$

| $\mathrm{H}(4) \mathrm{l} \cdot \mathrm{H}\left(4_{2}^{1}\right)$ | 2.33 | $\mathrm{H}\left(4,{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ ) $\cdots\left(2^{\text {V }}\right.$ ) | 2.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(42) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left({ }^{\text {lid }}\right.$ ) | 2.49 | $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(1^{111}\right)$ | 2.82 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(4{ }_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(3{ }^{\text {II }}\right.$ ) | 2.54 | $\mathrm{H}(4,) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{1}\right)$ | 2.83 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4,) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(3_{2}\right)$ | 2.57 | $\mathrm{H}\left(3_{1}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {V1 }}\right.$ ) | 2.85 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {III }}\right.$ ) | 2.65 | $\mathrm{H}\left(44_{2}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(3_{2}^{11}\right)$ | 2.93 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(4_{2}^{\text {IV }}\right.$ ) | 2.72 | $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(3_{2}^{\text {viII }}\right.$ ) | 2.94 |

The superscripts refer to the following transformations of the atomic coordinates:

| (I) | $\frac{1}{2}-x$, | $\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $z$ | (V) | $\frac{1}{2}-x$, | $1 \frac{1}{2}-y$, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (II) | $x$, | $1-y$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+z$ |  |  |  |
| (III) | $1-x$, | $2-y$, | $1-z$ | (VI) | $1-x$, | $1-y$, |
| (VII) | $\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $1 \frac{1}{2}-y$, | $1-z$ |  |  |  |
| (IV) | $\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. A general view of the 9-thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione molecule.

The methylene groups at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$ have CCC angles of 115.4 and $117.6^{\circ}$, and HCH angles of 107.3 and $104 \cdot 6^{\circ}$, respectively. If the HCH angles ( $2 \pi$ ) and the CCC angles ( $2 \varphi$ ) are related by simple $s, p$ hybridization theory, they should comply with the equation $\cot ^{2} a+\cot ^{2} \varphi=1$ (Coulson, 1948), and on this basis the HCH angles at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$ would be expected to be 104.5 and $103.0^{\circ}$. In the cyclodecane derivative the CCC angles are $113 \cdot 4-120 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ and the HCH angles $104 \cdot 7-106 \cdot 7^{\circ}$. It is clear that CCC angles larger than tetrahedral are associated with HCH angles distinctly smaller than tetrahedral, in accord with the hybridization model; nevertheless, the model does not reproduce the detailed angular variations in these strained molecules and, indeed, could not be expected to do so unless, fortuitously, all the attractive and repulsive forces acting on the atoms were in balance at the calculated angles.
The CCH angles at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4), 106 \cdot 6-110.8^{\circ}$ and $107.2-109.4^{\circ}$, respectively, show that the methylene groups depart slightly from $C_{2 v}$ symmetry; the major distortion in each case is a slight bending of the HCH plane out of the CCC bisector (by ca $2^{\circ}$ ), whereas there is no significant bending of the HCH bisector out of the CCC plane at either $\mathrm{C}(3)$ or $\mathrm{C}(4)$, despite the transannular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-repulsion.
The torsion angles in twin-chair bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes with $s p^{3}$-hybridized atoms at positions $2,3,4$, 6,7 and 8 (Table 6) establish that these molecules distort by displacements of atoms 3 and 7 essentially parallel to the plane through atoms 3,7 and 9 ; there is no significant sideways displacement of atoms 3 and 7 , with concomitant skewing of the rings. In 9-thia-bicyclol3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione, on the other hand, the ring torsion angles about bonds $1-2$ and $4-5$ differ by $15^{\circ}$ while those about bonds $2-3$ and 3-4 differ by $9^{\circ}$, so that in this case the rings are appreciably skewed. The $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(7)$ separation between these skewed rings is 3.18 (1) $\AA$, whereas the corresponding separations in the non-skewed twin-chair compounds


Fig. 2. A stereoscopic view of the molecular packing.

Table 6. Comparison of torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ and $3 \cdots 7$ separations $(\AA)$ in various twin-chair bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes

| $\omega$ | $A$ |  | $B$ |  | C |  | D |  | $E$ |  | Mean values | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| 1-2-3-4 | -43 | -48 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -46 | -44 | -45 | -50 | -42) | 45 | -47 |
| 2-3-4-5 | 43 | 48 | 44 | 45 | 42 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 50 | 45 ) | 45 | 38 |
| 3-4-5-9 | -59 | -59 | -53 | -52 | -51 | -52 | -50 | -53 | -62 | -58) | 55 | -51 |
| 3-2-1-9 | 59 | 58 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 55 | 52 | 52 | 62 | 55 ) | 55 | 66 |
| 2-1-9-5 | -67 | -59 | -61 | -61 | -62 | -64 | -62 | -62 | -67 | -61) |  | -67 |
| 4-5-9-1 | 68 | 60 | 62 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 60 | 63 | 69 | 60 ) | 63 | 61 |
| 3...7 | $3 \cdot 12$ |  | 3.12, 3.09 |  | 3.11 |  | 3.06 |  | 3.02 |  | 3.09 | $3 \cdot 18$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{H}(7) \dagger$ | 1.93 |  | 1.97, 1.97 |  | 1.84 |  | 1.7-1.8 |  | 1.73 |  | - | 2.07 |
| $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ (model) $\ddagger$ | 1.86 |  | $1.88,1.83$ |  | 1.86 |  | 1.76 |  | 1.70 |  | 1.82 | 2.07 |

A 9-Phenyl-9-phosphabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (Smith, 1972).
$B \quad \operatorname{Bis}(9$-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane) (Brauer \& Krüger, 1973).
C 2-Chlorobicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one (Webb \& Becker, 1967).
D 1-p-Bromobenzenesulphonyloxymethyl-5-methylbicyclo[3.3.1]non-9-ol (Brown, Martin \& Sim, 1965).
E 3-Azabicyclo[3.3.1 Inonane hydrobromide (Dobler \& Dunitz, 1964).
F 9-Thiabicyclol3.3.1|nonane-2,6-dione.
$\dagger$ The results in this row were derived from the hydrogen coordinates published in the accounts of the X-ray studies. For compound $F$, the neutron result is given.
$\ddagger$ The results in this row were derived by fitting the 3 -methylene geometry of 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione to the carbon skeletons.
are smaller, $3 \cdot 02-3 \cdot 12$, mean $3.09 \AA$. The electrondiffraction investigation of bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane yielded a value of $3 \cdot 10 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(7)$ distance in the non-skewed parent hydrocarbon. These results indicate that the skewing of the rings in 9 -thiabicyclo-[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione decreases the repulsion between the $\mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(7)$ methylene groups.

The X-ray studies of twin-chair bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes have dealt with the H atoms in several ways; they have been variously ignored, placed in ideal positions, located in difference maps, and adjusted in the least-squares calculations. The results thus obtained for the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-separation range from 1.7 to $2.0 \AA$ and a considerable measure of uncertainty is associated with each value. Our neutron diffraction study establishes that the $H\left(3_{1}\right) \cdots H\left(7_{1}\right)$ separation in 9 -thia-bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione is 2.07 (2) $\AA$. Since the bicyclononane derivatives in Table 6 have $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(7)$ separations shorter by ca $0.1 \AA$ than the separation in the thia compound, it is evident that the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$ distances in these compounds must be shorter than $2.07 \AA$, possibly in the range $1.9-2.0 \AA$. To obtain a consistent set of estimates for this key distance we adopted the geometry of the $\mathrm{C}(3)$ methylene group in 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione as a standard feature to be transferred to the other bicyclononanes and on this basis we calculated that the non-skewed compounds might have $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ separations $1 \cdot 70-1 \cdot 88$, mean $1.82 \AA$; if the two earliest, least reliable, structure determinations are omitted these estimates become $1.83-1.88$, mean $1.86 \AA$. The value of $1.86 \AA$ must be regarded as a lower limit for the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-separation in non-skewed twin-chair bicyclononanes, since repul-
sive interactions will inevitably distort the methylene groups further and increase the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distance.

Though the force fields employed in molecularmechanics calculations use a range of $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ van der Waals potential functions, it appears that the minimumenergy conformation of cyclodecane is not reproduced by potentials in excess of ca $5.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at $2 \AA$ (White \& Bovill, 1976). The force field developed by Allinger incorporates a harder $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ potential and calculations with his field yield an $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-separation in bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane of $2.20 \AA$ (Allinger, Tribble, Miller \& Wertz, 1971). On the other hand, with the softer $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ potential $\left(V=4.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$ at $2 \AA$ ) advocated by White \& Bovill (1976), which reproduces the short transannular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ separations in 1,6 -cyclodecanediol to within 0.01 A , the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-separation in bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane is predicted to be $1.97 \AA$; moreover, the enthalpy difference between the twin-chair and boat-chair conformations is calculated to be 12.1 $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with the experimental result of $c a 13 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, whereas the value estimated by Allinger, Tribble, Miller \& Wertz (1971) is 6.4 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Allinger (1977) has recently reported an improved version of his force field, with a softer $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ potential, and calculations based on this yield $2.02 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-separation in bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane.

1-Methyl-7-exo-tert-butylbicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,9dione (Hickmott, Cox \& Sim, 1974) provides an interesting alternative test of the proposed $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ potentials, for in this case we can ask whether a soft potential is compatible with the observed boat-chair conformation. Accordingly, we have extended the force field developed by White \& Bovill $(1976,1977)$ to allow
for the carbonyl function and carried out calculations for both conformations of the dione which indicate that the boat-chair conformation is indeed of lower energy, by $1.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The adoption of the boat chair conformation in this molecule can be attributed largely to effects arising from the carbonyl groups since our calculations indicate that the boat-chair conformation is also preferred in 1 -methylbicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,9dione, though only to the extent of $0.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, whereas the twin-chair conformation is favoured by 3.3 $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ in 1-methyl-7-exo-tert-butylbicyclo[3.3.1]-nonan- 9 -one and by $7.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ in 1-methyl-7-exo-tert-butylbicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. Since the enthalpy difference in favour of the twin-chair conformation in 1methylbicyclo[3.3.1] nonane is calculated to be 11.4 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ( $c f .12 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ in bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane), the buttressing effect of a 7 -exo-tert-butyl substituent should be much smaller in bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,9dione than in the parent hydrocarbon.
In order to perform molecular-mechanics calculations for 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione, additional parameters have to be introduced for the S atom. Allinger \& Hickey (1975) have investigated the calculation of energies and structures of thiaalkanes and deduced a set of parameters for $S$ that gave good results for a range of simple alkane derivatives and cyclic compounds. They chose, for simplicity, to treat the S atom as spherical but noted that it might be necessary in some molecules to allow for the lone pairs of electrons. For calculations on 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]-nonane-2,6-dione we initially adopted parameters equivalent to those reported by Allinger \& Hickey (1975) and obtained an optimized molecular geometry in which the six-membered rings were not skewed as found in our neutron and X-ray diffraction studies. Moreover, with this force field we estimated that the twin-chair conformation of 9 -oxa-3,7-dithiabicyclo[3.3.1] nonane should be of lower energy, by 18.4 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, than the boat-chair conformation, whereas only the latter conformation has been observed (Zefirov, Rogozina, Kurkutova, Goncharov \& Belov, 1974). In view of these results, we adjusted the force-field parameters in attempts to reproduce both the boat-chair preference in 9-oxa-3,7-dithiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane and the skewing of the rings in 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione. An increase in the van der Waals parameter $r^{*}$ for $S$, leading to increased $S \cdots S$ and $S \cdots H$ repulsions, improved the situation. Our final choice of parameters gave $\omega(9-1-2-3)=66.0^{\circ}$ and $\omega(3-4-5-9)=-50 \cdot 3^{\circ}$ (cf. experimental results $66 \cdot 1$ and $-51.0^{\circ}$ ) as a measure of the skew in 9-thia-bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,6-dione and predicted the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3,7$-separation in the molecule to be $2 \cdot 11 \AA$ (cf. experimental result $2.07 \AA$ ). The enthalpy difference in favour of the twin-chair conformation of 9-oxa-3,7dithiabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane was reduced to 11.3 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The inability to obtain more satisfactory results
suggests that it may be necessary to allow explicitly for $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ lone-pair repulsion in 9 -oxa-3,7-dithiabicyclo13.3.1]nonane and possibly also for S lone-pair $\cdots$ axial-H(4) repulsion in 9 -thiabicyclo[3.3.1]-nonane-2,6-dione. However, such additional parameterization of the force field would only be reliable if based on a greater number of experimental results.

## APPENDIX

The steric energy $\left(E_{s}\right)$ of a molecule is represented as the sum of contributions from each of the terms describing potential energy as a function of molecular deformations from an arbitrary reference geometry:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{s} & =\sum_{l} \frac{1}{2} k_{l}\left(l-l_{0}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} k_{\theta}\left(\Delta \theta^{2}-k_{\theta}^{\prime} \Delta \theta^{3}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{2} k_{\omega}(1+s \cos n \omega) \\
& +\sum_{r} \varepsilon\left\{-2 / \imath^{6}+\exp [12(1-a)]\right\} \\
& +\sum_{x} \frac{1}{2} k_{x}(180-\chi)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $l, \theta, r$ and $\omega$ are bond lengths, bond angles, 1-4 and higher interatomic distances and torsion angles, respectively. Also, $\quad 1=r /\left(r_{1}^{*}+r_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $\Delta \theta=\theta-\theta_{0}$. The values of the force constants $k_{l}, k_{\theta}, k_{\theta}^{\prime}, k_{\omega}, \varepsilon, k_{x}$, and the equilibrium geometry parameters $l_{0}, \theta_{0}, s, n$ and $r^{*}$ were taken from the compilation of White \& Bovill (1977) supplemented by the values shown in the Supplementary Publication. $\dagger$
$\dagger$ See previous footnote.

## References

Allinger, N. L. (1976). Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 13, 1-82.
Allinger, N. L. (1977). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 8127-8134.
Allinger, N. L. \& Hickey, M. J. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 5167-5177.
Allinger, N. L. \& Sprague, J. T. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 5734-5747.
Allinger, N. L., Tribble, M. T., Miller, M. A. \& Wertz, D. H. (1971). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 1637-1648.

Appleton, R. A., Egan, C., Evans, J. M., Graham, S. H. \& Dixon, J. R. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. C, pp. 1110-1115. Bacon, G. E. (1972). Acta Cryst. A28, 357-358.
Brauer, D. J. \& Krüger, C. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 1684-1690.
Brown, W. A. C., Eglinton, G., Martin, J., Parker, W. \& Sim, G. A. (1964). Proc. Chem. Soc. London, pp. 57-58.

Brown, W. A. C., Martin, J. \& Sim, G. A. (1965). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 1844-1857.
Coulson, C. A. (1948). Victor Henri Memorial Volume, pp. 15-31. Liège: Desoer.
Cradwick, P. D. \& Sim, G. A. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. B, pp. 2218-2221.
Cromer, D. T. \& Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
Dobler, M. \& Dunitz, J. D. (1964). Helv. Chim. Acta, 47, 695-704.
Dunitz, J. D. (1968). Perspect. Struct. Chem. 2, 1-70.
Engler, E. M., Andose, J. D. \& Schleyer, P. von R. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 8005-8025.

Ermer, O., Dunitz, J. D. \& Bernal, I. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 2278-2285.
Ermer, O. \& Lifson, S. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 4121-4132.
Fitzwater, S. \& Bartell, L. S. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 5107-5115.
Hendrickson, J. B. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 70367043, 7043-7046, 7047-7061.
Нickmott, P. W., Cox, P. J. \& Sim, G. A. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 2544-2548.
International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, p. 215. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

Laird, A. E. \& Tyler, J. K. (1977). Personal communication.
Marvell, E. N. \& Knutson, R. S. (1970). J. Org. Chem. 35, 388-391.
Osina, E. L., Mastryukov, V. S., Vilkov, L. V. \& Belikova, N. A. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 12-13.
Smith, A. E. (1972). Inorg. Chem. 11, 3017-3020.
Stewart, J. M., Kruger, G. J., Ammon, H. L., Dickinson, C. \& Hall, S. R. (1972). Tech. Rep. TR-192. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland.
Stewart, R. F., Davidson, E. R. \& Simpson, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.

Tamura, C. \& Sim, G. A. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. B, pp. 1241-1248.
Webb, N. C. \& Becker, M. R. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. B, pp. 1317-1321.
White, D. N. J. \& Bovill, M. J. (1976). J. Mol. Struct. 33, 273-277.
White, D. N. J. \& Bovill, M. J. (1977). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1610-1623.
Zefirov, N. S., Rogozina, S. V., Kurkutova, E. H., Goncharov, A. V. \& Belov, N. V. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 260-261.

Acta Cryst. (1979). B35, 675-678

# The Crystal and Molecular Structure of the Neuroleptic Pimozide Hydrochloride, 1-\{1-[4,4-bis(4-fluorophenyl)butyl]-4-piperidyl\}-2-benzimidazolinone $\mathbf{H C l}$ 

By Narayandas Datta $\dagger$, Panchali Mondal and Peter Pauling*<br>William Ramsay, Ralph Forster, and Christopher Ingold Laboratories, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1, England

(Received 27 July 1978; accepted 23 November 1978)


#### Abstract

Crystals of pimozide, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{HCl}$, are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with unit-cell dimensions $a=$ 10.007 (3), $b=25.880$ (9), $c=10.014$ (4) $\AA, \beta=$ 99.15 (7) ${ }^{\circ}, Z=4$. The crystal structure was analysed by the heavy-atom method and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations to a final $R$ of 0.046 .

\section*{Introduction}

Pimozide, $\quad \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{HCl}$ ( R 24970 ; pimozide base: $R 6238$; pimozide $\mathrm{HBr}: R 24969$ ) is a neuroleptic

^[ $\dagger$ Deceased 17 June 1976. * Present address: Chemistry Department, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ. ]


0567-7408/79/030675-04\$01.00
(major tranquillizer) drug with anticholinergic activity. As part of a systematic study of substances affecting the peripheral and central nervous systems, the crystal structure of pimozide HCl was analyzed.


## Experimental

Crystals of pimozide hydrochloride ( $R 24970$ ) obtained from Janssen Laboratories, grown from a saturated solution of methanol and water (4:1) at 313 © 1979 International Union of Crystallography

